

Dihalomethanes

Table 1: ^{35}Cl NQCCs (MHz) in CH_2XCl , where $\text{X} = \text{F}, \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$.

X =	F ^a	³⁵ Cl ^b	⁷⁹ Br ^c	I ^d
χ_{aa}	-52.570(26)	-41.7418(11)	-33.64(70)	30.9451(31)
χ_{bb}	13.469(20)	1.8004(12)	-5.79(41)	-9.1413(37)
χ_{cc}	39.059(18)	39.9414(12)	39.43(81)	40.0881(49)
$ \chi_{ab} $	43.7(23)	50.93(23)	53.7(52)	55.600(51)
χ_{xx}	35.20(186)	35.41(21)	35.76(505) ^e	36.614(52)
χ_{yy}	39.059(18)	39.9414(12)	39.43(81)	40.0881(49)
χ_{zz}	-74.30(187)	-75.35(21)	-75.19(505) ^e	-76.703(52)
η	0.0519	0.060(3)	0.04880	0.0457

^aS.Blanco, A.Lesarri, J.C.López, J.L.Alonso, and A.Guarnier, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 174,397 (1995).

^bZ.Kisiel, J.Kosarzewski, and L.Pszczólkowski, Acta Physica Polonica A, 92,507(1997).

^cY.Niide and I.Ohkoshi, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 136,17(1989).

^dS.Bailleux, H.Ozeki, S.Sakai, T.Okabayashi, P.Kania, and D.Duflot, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 270,51(2011).

^eThe uncertainty in this component, not given in original paper, was calculated here using Kisiel's QDIAG program.

Table 2: ^{79}Br NQCCs (MHz) in CH_2XBr , where $\text{X} = \text{F}, \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$.

$\text{X} =$	F^a	$^{35}\text{Cl}^b$	$^{79}\text{Br}^c$	I^d
χ_{aa}	443.531(24)	383.33(59)	335.21(42)	304.3803(11)
χ_{bb}	-144.980(34)	-75.80(37)	-24.93(24)	4.3527(12)
χ_{cc}	-298.551(34)	-307.53(69)	-310.28(48)	-308.7331(17)
$ \chi_{ab} $	278.63(54)	372.4(13)	402.12(79)	418.0130(52)
χ_{xx}	-255.97(38)	-283.70	-285.46	-289.7495(49)
χ_{yy}	-298.551(34)	-307.53	-310.28	-308.7331(17)
χ_{zz}	554.52(38)	591.23	595.74	598.4825(49)
η	0.07679(17)	0.04030	0.04166	0.0317

^aA.Baldacci, P.Stoppa, A.Pietropolli Charmet, S.Giorgianni, G.Cazzoli, C.Puzzarini, and R. Wugt Larson, J. Phys. Chem. A, 111,7090(2007).

^bY.Niide and I.Ohkoshi, J.Mol.Spectrosc. 136,17(1989).

^cY.Niide, H.Tanaka, and I.Ohkoshi, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 139,11(1990).

^dS.Bailleux, D.Duflot, K.Taniguchi, S.Sakai, H.Ozeki, T.Okabayashi, and W.C.Bailey, J. Phys. Chem. A, 118,11744(2014).

Table 3: ^{127}I NQCCs (MHz) in CH_2XI , where $\text{X} = \text{F}, \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$.

$\text{X} =$	F^a	$^{35}\text{Cl}^b$	$^{79}\text{Br}^c$	I^d
χ_{aa}	-1581.6142(27)	-1421.6753(25)	-1275.3137(13)	-1180.90(13)
χ_{bb}	584.1657(52)	392.3607(25)	236.3851(12)	144.238(70)
χ_{cc}	997.4485(52)	1029.3147(35)	1038.9286(18)	1036.662(70)
$ \chi_{ab} $	857.209(70)	1177.095(24)	1296.1970(44)	1358.85(54)
χ_{xx}	882.383(44)	971.356(25)	981.0140(39)	993.45(49)
χ_{yy}	997.4485(52)	1029.3147(35)	1038.9286(18)	1036.662(70)
χ_{zz}	-1879.832(44)	-2000.670(25)	-2019.9426(39)	-2030.11(45)
η	0.06121(2)	0.0290	0.0287	0.02129(24)

^aC.Puzzarini, G.Cazzoli, J.C.López, J.L.Alonso, A.Baldacci, A.Baldan, S.Stopkowicz, L.Cheng, and J.Gauss, *J. Chem. Phys.* 134,174312(2011); G.Cazzoli, A.Baldacci, A.Baldan, and C.Puzzarini, *Mol. Phys.* 109,2245(2011).

^bS.Bailleux, H.Ozeki, S.Sakai, T.Okabayashi, P.Kania, and D.Duflot, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* 270,51 (2011).

^cS.Bailleux, D.Duflot, K.Taniguchi, S.Sakai, H.Ozeki, T.Okabayashi, and W.C.Bailey, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 118,11744(2014).

^dZ.Kisiel, L.Pszczólkowski, W.Caminati, and P.G.Favero, *J. Chem. Phys.* 105,1778(1996); *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* 189,283(1998).

DISCUSSION

Going from X = F to Cl to Br to I,

changes in $|\chi_{zz}|(\text{Cl})$ are +1.4 %, -0.2 %, and +2.0 %,

changes in $|\chi_{zz}|(\text{Br})$ are +6.6 %, +0.8 %, and +0.5 %

changes in $|\chi_{zz}|(\text{I})$ are +6.4 %, +1.0 %, and +0.5 %.

Any pattern that may exist here is obscured by the data for Cl. We note the rather large uncertainties in $|\chi_{ab}|$ for CH_2FCl and especially CH_2BrCl which, in turn, produce large uncertainties in $|\chi_{zz}|$. For CH_2FCl , *ab initio* calculation on an r_e^{SE} structure (1) of the inertial axes NQCC tensors yields diagonal components in good agreement with experimental values - and for CH_2BrCl , on an r_o structure (2), fair agreement - as shown below:

CH_2FCl	Expt.	Calc.
χ_{aa}	-52.570(26)	-52.77
χ_{bb}	13.469(20)	13.55
χ_{cc}	39.059(18)	39.22
$ \chi_{ab} $	43.7(23)	39.86
CH_2BrCl	Expt.	Calc.
χ_{aa}	-33.64(70)	-35.69
χ_{bb}	-5.79(41)	-4.89
χ_{cc}	39.43(81)	40.58
$ \chi_{ab} $	53.7(52)	54.25

There being no reason why the calculated off-diagonal components should be any less accurate than the diagonal, re-diagonalization was made with $|\chi_{ab}| = 39.86(30)$ MHz for CH_2FCl , and $54.25(290)$ MHz for CH_2BrCl , where the assumed uncertainties are, for each molecule, $2\times$ the root mean square difference between calculated and experimental diagonal components. These results are shown below in Table 4, alongside the original diagonalizations (Table 1).

Table 4: ^{35}Cl NQCC tensors (MHz) in CH_2FCl and CH_2BrCl

		Diag	Re-Diag	
CH_2FCl	χ_{aa}	-52.570(26)	-52.570(26)	
	χ_{bb}	13.469(20)	13.469(20)	
	χ_{cc}	39.059(18)	39.059(18)	
	$ \chi_{ab} $	43.7(23)	39.86(30)	
	χ_{xx}	35.20(186)	32.21(23)	
	χ_{yy}	39.059(18)	39.059(18)	
	χ_{zz}	-74.30(187)	-71.31(23)	
	η	0.0519	0.0960(3)	
	CH_2BrCl	χ_{aa}	-33.64(70)	-33.64(70)
		χ_{bb}	-5.79(41)	-5.79(41)
χ_{cc}		39.43(81)	39.43(81)	
$ \chi_{ab} $		53.7(52)	54.25(290)	
χ_{xx}		35.76(505)	36.29(283)	
χ_{yy}		39.43(81)	39.43(81)	
χ_{zz}		-75.19(505)	-75.72(285)	
η		0.0488(681)	0.0414(389)	

And now, going from X = F to Cl to Br to I,
 changes in $|\chi_{zz}|(\text{Cl})$ are +5.7 %, +0.5 %, and +1.3 %,
 changes in $|\chi_{zz}|(\text{Br})$ are +6.6 %, +0.8 %, and +0.5 %,
 changes in $|\chi_{zz}|(\text{I})$ are +6.4 %, +1.0 %, and +0.5 %.

This is better.

REFERENCES

- (1) N.Vogt, J.Demaison, and H.D.Rudolf, Mol. Phys. 112,2873(2014).
- (2) Y.Niide and I.Ohkoshi, J.Mol.Spectrosc. 136,17(1989).