

# Fluorinated and Methylated Acetonitriles

Table 1:  $^{14}\text{N}$  NQCC tensors (MHz) in increasingly fluorinated acetonitriles. (References are given below.)

$\text{CH}_3\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$	$\chi_{zz}$	<b>-4.22473(80)</b>	
$\text{CH}_2\text{FC}\equiv\text{N}$	$\chi_{aa}$	-3.7039(26)	
	$\chi_{bb}$	1.8918(26)	
	$\chi_{cc}$	1.8122(37)	
	$ \chi_{ab} $	2.119	
	$\chi_{xx}$	2.604	
	$\chi_{yy}$	1.812	
	$\chi_{zz}$	<b>-4.416</b>	
	$\eta$		
	$\text{CHF}_2\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$	$\chi_{aa}$	-4.3899(33)
		$\chi_{bb}$	2.4017(39)
$\chi_{cc}$		1.9883(39)	
$ \chi_{ac} $		1.247(56) <sup>a</sup>	
$\chi_{xx}$		2.223(21)	
$\chi_{yy}$		2.4017(39)	
$\chi_{zz}$		<b>-4.625(21)</b>	
$\eta$		0.0385(46)	
$\text{CF}_3\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$	$\chi_{zz}$	<b>-4.666(4)</b>	

<sup>a</sup> *Ab initio* value. The estimated uncertainty is  $2\times$  the root mean square difference between calculated and experimental diagonal components. See <http://nqcc.wcbailey.net/CIFAcetonitriles.pdf>.

Going from  $\text{CH}_3\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$  to  $\text{CH}_2\text{FC}\equiv\text{N}$  to  $\text{CHF}_2\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$  to  $\text{CF}_3\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$ ,

changes in  $|\chi_{zz}|$  are +4.5 %, +4.7 %, and +0.9 %.

Table 2:  $^{14}\text{N}$  NQCC tensors (MHz) in increasingly methylated acetonitriles. (References are given below.)

$\text{CH}_3\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$	$\chi_{zz}$	<b>-4.22473(80)</b>	
$\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$	$\chi_{aa}$	-3.3572(18)	
	$\chi_{bb}$	1.2982(39)	
	$\chi_{cc}$	2.0590(39)	
	$ \chi_{ab} $	2.180(28) <sup>a</sup>	
	$\chi_{xx}$	2.160(19)	
	$\chi_{yy}$	2.0590(39)	
	$\chi_{zz}$	<b>-4.219(19)</b>	
	$\eta$	0.0239(47)	
	$(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CHC}\equiv\text{N}$	$\chi_{aa}$	-3.93838(23)
		$\chi_{bb}$	2.11117(30)
$\chi_{cc}$		1.82721(24)	
$ \chi_{ac} $		1.278 <sup>b</sup>	
$\chi_{xx}$			
$\chi_{yy}$			
$\chi_{zz}$		<b>-4.209</b>	
$\eta$		0.003	
$(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{CC}\equiv\text{N}$		$\chi_{zz}$	<b>-4.2143(9)</b>

<sup>a</sup> *Ab initio* value. The estimated uncertainty is  $2\times$  the root mean square difference between calculated and experimental diagonal components. See <http://nqcc.wcbailey.net/CH3CH2CN.html>.

<sup>b</sup> Scaled *ab initio* value. See H.S.P.Muller, A.Coutens, A.Walters, J.-U.Grabow, and S.Schlemmer, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 267,100(2011).

## References

- $\text{CH}_3\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$  G.Cazzoli and C.Puzzarini, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* 240,153(2006).  
Corrigendum: 247,187(2008).
- $\text{CH}_2\text{FC}\equiv\text{N}$  M.Andolfatto, H.Krause, D.H.Sutter, M.H.Palmer,  
*Z. Naturforsch.* 43a,651(1988).
- $\text{CHF}_2\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$  J.Spieckermann, M.Andolfatto, and D.H.Sutter,  
*Z. Naturforsch.* 42a,167(1987).
- $\text{CF}_3\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$  A.P.Cox, M.C.Ellis, A.C.Legon, and A.Wallwork, *J. Chem. Soc.*  
*Faraday Trans.* 89,2937(1993).
- $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$  A.Kraśnicki and Z.Kisiel, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* 270,83(2011).
- $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CHC}\equiv\text{N}$  H.S.P.Müller, A.Coutens, A.Walters, J.-U.Grabow, and S.Schlemmer,  
*J. Mol. Spectrosc.* 267,100(2011).
- $(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$  Z.Kisiel, E.Białkowska-Jaworska, O.Desyatnyk, B.A.Pietrewicz, and  
L.Pszczółkowski, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* 208,113(2001).